

## L. B. Namier (letter)

Isaiah Berlin Online aims to post, in the fullness of time, PDFs of all Berlin's uncollected and unpublished work, including lectures, interviews and broadcasts, so that it is conveniently readable and searchable online. The present PDF is part of this series.

The PDF is posted by the Isaiah Berlin Legacy Fellow at Wolfson College, with the support of the Trustees of the Isaiah Berlin Literary Trust.

All enquiries, including those concerning rights, should be directed to the Legacy Fellow at <a href="mailto:berlin@wolfson.ox.ac.uk">berlin@wolfson.ox.ac.uk</a>

L. B. Namier (letter)

Encounter 28 no. 1 (January 1967), 92



TO THE EDITOR, ENCOUNTER

The City University, New York

In my article on Lewis Namier, published in your November 1966 issue, I attempted to describe Namier's reaction to a version which appeared in a gossip column in one of the Beaverbrook newpapers of a characteristic comment on abstract theorising which he had made in a private letter to me. I assumed (and so did Namier) that the ultimate source of this information was our common friend, Mr E. H. Carr, and said so in my article (p. 40, paragraph 8).

Mr Carr has now assured me that my assumption was wholly mistaken. Since I can well imagine what I myself would have felt if I had been charged with communicating the contents of a private letter, however harmless, to a newspaper, I realise how much and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> L. B. Namier: A Personal Impression', *Encounter* 27 no. 5 (November 1966), 32–42; repr. in PI.

## L. B. NAMIER

how justly this must have annoyed Mr Carr. I am therefore happy to exonerate him from all responsibility in this matter, and to add that I am extremely sorry not to have checked the facts with him, and consequently to have caused him embarrassment.

The culprit remains unknown, and the matter is not worth pursuing.

Isaiah Berlin

© Isaiah Berlin 1983

Posted in Isaiah Berlin Online and the Isaiah Berlin Virtual Library 18 May 2023